

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/689,636	Applicant(s) KAWASAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner Ryan Lepisto	Art Unit 2883	

All Participants:

(1) Ryan Lepisto.

(2) Brad Lytle.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 June 2005

Time: 1:30 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


It was confirmed by Brad Lytle, applicant's attorney, that no reply has been sent.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



Frank G. Font
 Supervisory Patent Examiner
 Technology Center 2800



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)